

The Mayoral candidates April 6 at LV4ALL: the environment and Martin Tower

[The Bethlehem Gadfly](#) [Candidates for election](#), [Election](#) May 1, 2021

 *Latest in a series of posts on candidates for election* 

“How would you balance environmental protection concerns such as those brought up by the Environmental Action Committee with rezoning and development in Bethlehem into such things as Martin Tower?”

Candidate Grubb:

- The EAC made recommendations when Martin Tower was before Council
- such as impact on Monocacy Creek, pollution
- they weren't listened to
- developers given carte blanche
- which opponent Reynolds supported
- with so much impermeable surface you can't ignore the environmental impact
- you gotta play hardball with developers
- has experience doing that
- gotta let them know the environment is important to the residents
- would take a hard stance with developers

Candidate Reynolds:

- Administration not Council brought forward the re-zoning proposal
- Council created compromise that reduced the amount of retail by 75%
- compromise balance commercial, residential, and retail
- redevelopment is a balance of those three in a sustainable way
- nobody's talking about the Climate Action Plan I developed and that is just going to be released

- EAC has been 100% supportive of CAP
- members are supporting my campaign
- roadmap to sustainability that touches every project including Martin Tower

The Bethlehem Gadfly