

“He’s not providing us with evidence,” says the Zoning Hearing Board solicitor in the 2 W. Market case

The Bethlehem Gadfly 2 W. Market St., Neighborhoods, Northside January 8, 2020

94th in a series of posts on 2 W. Market St.

Here’s another interesting head-scratcher.

In the 2 W. Market case, what constitutes evidence?

Gadfly thinks you will find this 5 minutes of Steve Diamond’s testimony provocatively relevant to that question.

<https://youtu.be/m3xGOXk8RT4>

Part of Mr. Diamond’s testimony on the economic impact of a vague zoning amendment on the large investment he and others have put in their historic area properties is dismissed as speculative, and the bottom line in his testimony about the physical and financial stress generated by uncertainty is dismissed as providing no evidence, no facts.

Your own mental state is not a fact, not evidence.

Are you seeing the kind of personal and legal swamp you might have to wade through in order to protect your property, your neighborhood?

to be continued . . .